



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/634,980	08/05/2003	James N. McCabe	MCCJ86A	MCCJ86A 7920	
7	7590 04/08/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
RICHARD L. MILLER			PIERCE, W	PIERCE, WILLIAM M	
12 Parkside Dr	rive				
Dix Hills, NY 11746-4879			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3711		

DATE MAILED: 04/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		e				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Cummen	10/634,980	MCCABE, JAMES	5 N.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	William M Pierce	3711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Au	<u>igust 2003</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-224 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>24</u> is/are allowed.	_					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers	•					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign particle. a) All b) Some * c) None of:	oriority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	·(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		n No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit			Stage			
application from the International Bureau	•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		[nn:				
Attachment(s)			e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Dix Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa		. 152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1</u> .	6) Other:	тент Аррисацоп (РТО	- 132)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

One cannot determine from the preamble of the claim whether it is drawn to a method or an apparatus. For the purposes of this office action, the claims are being treated as apparatus claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 7, 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Munson 4,416,455.

As to claims 1-5, Munson shows 95 playing pieces in his table at col. 4 which encompasses twenty-eight playing pieces as called for by the claim. These playing pieces are inherently capable of meeting the functional limitation as recited in the claims. Claim 7 is shown by 38 of Munson. Fig. 8 of Munson is considered to show the letters in "reverse alphabetical order" as called for by claims 8, 22 and 23. No further limitation are recited in the claim which distinguish over the prior art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6 and 9-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Munson in view of Haqedorn 5,524,899.

While Munson fails to show the use of both upper and lower case letters as called for by claim 6, Hagedorn teaches that it would have been obvious in word forming games to provided both case letters to help the players learn

Application/Control Number: 10/634,980 Page 3

Art Unit: 3711

to recognize the letters of the alphabet. Claims 9-21 are considered to a mere rearrangement of indicia which has been held to be obvious in the absence of a showing of criticality. Gulack, In re, 703 F.2d 1381, 217 USPQ 401 (Fed. Cir. 1983 and In re Miller, 441 F.2d 689, 169 USPQ 597 (CCPA 1971) held that there must exist a new and nonobvious functional relationship to the substrate.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Chan, Ketchum and Bardelli show spelling games.

Any inquiry concerning this communication and its merits should be directed to William Pierce at E-mail address bill.pierce@USPTO.gov or at telephone number (571) 272-4414.

For **official fax** communications to be officially entered in the application the fax number is (703) 872-9306.

For informal fax communications the fax number is (703) 308-7769.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the **status** of this application or proceeding can also be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Any inquiry concerning the **drawings** should be directed to the Drafting Division whose telephone number is (703) 305-8335.